

Spring School on Strings, Cosmology and Particles

March 31st-April 4th 2009, Niš, Serbia

D-deformed Wess-Zumino model and its renormalizability properties

Marija Dimitrijević

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Physics, Belgrade, Serbia

with V. Radovanović

0902.1864[hep-th], submitted to JHEP

Outline

- Deformed (noncommutative) spaces
 - definition, \star -product representation
- Twist formalism
 - motivation, basic ideas
- D -deformed superspace
 - definition, properties
 - deformed SUSY transformations
- Deformed Wess-Zumino action
 - construction
 - two-point functions
- Comments, conclusions

Deformed (noncommutative) space

Noncommutative space $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_{\hat{x}}$, generated by \hat{x}^μ coordinates $\mu = 0, 1, \dots, n$ such that:

$$[\hat{x}^\mu, \hat{x}^\nu] = \Theta^{\mu\nu}(\hat{x}). \quad (1)$$

It is an associative free algebra generated by \hat{x}^μ and divided by the ideal generated by relations (1). [More formal: algebra of formal power series in \hbar of polynomials in \hat{x} or a completion of it.]

Three forms of $\Theta^{\mu\nu}(\hat{x})$ of special interest (Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt property)

$$[\hat{x}^\mu, \hat{x}^\nu] = i\theta^{\mu\nu}, \quad \theta^{\mu\nu} = -\theta^{\nu\mu} = \text{const.}, \quad (2)$$

$$[\hat{x}^\mu, \hat{x}^\nu] = iC_\lambda^{\mu\nu} \hat{x}^\lambda, \quad C_\lambda^{\mu\nu} \text{ Lie algebra struct. const.}, \quad (3)$$

$$\hat{x}^\mu \hat{x}^\nu = \frac{1}{q} R^{\mu\nu}_{\rho\sigma} \hat{x}^\rho \hat{x}^\sigma, \quad R \text{ matrix of quantum group.} \quad (4)$$

★-product approach

Idea: represent $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_{\hat{x}}$ on the space of commuting coordinates, but keep track of the deformation.

Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt (PBW) theorem: ordering in the $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_{\hat{x}}$ has to be specified; symmetric ordering for example

$$\begin{aligned} : \hat{x}^\mu : &= \hat{x}^\mu, \\ : \hat{x}^\mu \hat{x}^\nu : &= \frac{1}{2}(\hat{x}^\mu \hat{x}^\nu + \hat{x}^\nu \hat{x}^\mu), \quad \text{etc.} \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

PBW theorem:

$$\begin{aligned} : \hat{x}^\mu : &\mapsto x^\mu, \\ : \hat{x}^\mu \hat{x}^\nu : &\mapsto x^\mu x^\nu, \quad \text{etc.} \end{aligned}$$

$$\hat{f}(\hat{x}) = C_0 + C_{1\mu} : \hat{x}^\mu : + C_{2\mu\nu} : \hat{x}^\mu \hat{x}^\nu : + \dots$$

↓

$$f(x) = C_0 + C_{1\mu} x^\mu + C_{2\mu\nu} x^\mu x^\nu + \dots$$

(6)

Also
$$\hat{f}(\hat{x})\hat{g}(\hat{x}) = \hat{f} \cdot \hat{g}(\hat{x}) \mapsto f \star g(x). \quad (7)$$

In the case of θ -deformed space

MW \star -product
$$f \star g(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{i}{2}\right)^n \frac{1}{n!} \theta^{\rho_1 \sigma_1} \dots \theta^{\rho_n \sigma_n} \left(\partial_{\rho_1} \dots \partial_{\rho_n} f(x)\right) \left(\partial_{\sigma_1} \dots \partial_{\sigma_n} g(x)\right) \quad (8)$$

$$= f \cdot g + \frac{i}{2} \theta^{\rho\sigma} (\partial_{\rho} f) \cdot (\partial_{\sigma} g) + \mathcal{O}(\theta^2).$$

Associative, noncommutative; c. conjugation: $(f \star g)^* = g^* \star f^*$.

Special example:
$$x^{\mu} \star x^{\nu} = x^{\mu} x^{\nu} + \frac{i}{2} \theta^{\mu\nu}$$

$$x^{\nu} \star x^{\mu} = x^{\mu} x^{\nu} + \frac{i}{2} \theta^{\nu\mu} = x^{\mu} x^{\nu} - \frac{i}{2} \theta^{\mu\nu}$$

$$[x^{\mu} \star, x^{\nu}] = i\theta^{\mu\nu}. \quad (9)$$

Twist formalism

- Motivation 1: Product (8) can be viewed as coming from **an Abelian twist** given by

$$\mathcal{F} = e^{-\frac{i}{2}\theta^{\rho\sigma}\partial_\rho\otimes\partial_\sigma} \quad (10)$$

as

$$\begin{aligned} f \star g &= \mu\{\mathcal{F}^{-1}f \otimes g\} \\ &= \mu\{e^{\frac{i}{2}\theta^{\rho\sigma}\partial_\rho\otimes\partial_\sigma}f \otimes g\} \\ &= f \cdot g + \frac{i}{2}\theta^{\rho\sigma}(\partial_\rho f) \cdot (\partial_\sigma g) + \mathcal{O}(\theta^2). \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

- Motivation 2: Deformation $[x^\mu \star, x^\nu] = i\theta^{\mu\nu}$ **breaks** the classical Lorentz symmetry.

Is there a deformation of Lorentz symmetry such that it is a symmetry of (9)?

Basic idea and ingredients

Consider first a deformation (twist) of a classical symmetry (spacetime: Lorentz, SUSY or gauge). Then deform the spacetime itself.

Ingredients:

- Lie algebra g ; generators t^a with $[t^a, t^b] = i f^{abc} t^c$
 - Ξ : algebra of vector fields $u = u^\mu \partial_\mu$ with $[u, v] = uv - vu$.
- Universal enveloping algebra Ug
 - $U\Xi$: tensor algebra (over \mathbb{C}) generated by u and the unit element 1 modulo the left and right ideal generated by all elements $uv - vu - [u, v]$.
 - Ug is a Hopf algebra

$$\Delta(t^a) = t^a \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes t^a$$

$$\varepsilon(t^a) = 0, \quad S(t^a) = -t^a. \quad (12)$$

Twist

A **twist** \mathcal{F} (introduced by Drinfel'd in 1983-1985) is:

-an element of $Ug \otimes Ug$

-invertible

-fulfills **the cocycle condition** (ensures the associativity of the \star -product)

$$\mathcal{F} \otimes 1(\Delta \otimes id)\mathcal{F} = 1 \otimes \mathcal{F}(id \otimes \Delta)\mathcal{F}. \quad (13)$$

-additionally: $\mathcal{F} = 1 \otimes 1 + \mathcal{O}(\hbar)$; \hbar -deformation parameter.

Notation: $\mathcal{F} = f^\alpha \otimes f_\alpha$ and $\mathcal{F}^{-1} = \bar{f}^\alpha \otimes \bar{f}_\alpha$.

An example of twist:

$$\mathcal{F} = e^{-\frac{i}{2}\theta^{ab}X_a \otimes X_b}, \quad (14)$$

where $X_a = X_a^\mu(x)\partial_\mu$, $[X^a, X^b] = 0$ and $\theta^{ab} = const..$

1. \mathcal{F} applied to Ug : **twisted Hopf algebra** $Ug_{\mathcal{F}}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 [t^a, t^b] &= if^{abc}t^c \\
 \Delta_{\mathcal{F}}(t^a) &= \mathcal{F}\Delta(t^a)\mathcal{F}^{-1} \\
 \varepsilon(t^a) &= 0, \quad S_{\mathcal{F}} = f^{\alpha}S(f_{\alpha})S(t^a)S(\bar{f}^{\beta})\bar{f}_{\beta}. \quad (15)
 \end{aligned}$$

2. \mathcal{F} applied to \mathcal{A}_x gives \mathcal{A}_x^*

pointwise multiplication: $\mu(f \otimes g) = f \cdot g$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \star\text{-multiplication: } \mu_{\star}(f \otimes g) &\equiv \mu \circ \mathcal{F}^{-1}(f \otimes g) \quad (16) \\
 &= (\bar{f}^{\alpha}f)(\bar{f}_{\alpha}g) = f \star g.
 \end{aligned}$$

Comments I

Deformation by twist

Abelian twist $\mathcal{F} = e^{-\frac{i}{2}\theta^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\otimes\partial_\nu}$, $\theta^{\mu\nu} = -\theta^{\nu\mu} \in \mathbb{R}$ leads to

1) θ -deformed Poincaré symmetry,

Chaichian et al. (Phys. Lett. B604, 98 (2004)), Wess (hep-th/0408080), Koch et al. (Nucl. Phys. B717, 387 (2005))

$$[\partial_\mu, \partial_\nu] = 0, \quad [\delta_\omega^*, \partial_\rho] = \omega_\rho^\mu \partial_\mu, \quad [\delta_\omega^*, \delta_{\omega'}^*] = \delta_{[\omega, \omega']}^*,$$

$$\Delta(\delta_\omega^*) = \delta_\omega^* \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \delta_\omega^* + \frac{i}{2}\theta^{\rho\sigma} \left(\omega_\rho^\lambda \partial_\lambda \otimes \partial_\sigma + \partial_\rho \otimes \omega_\sigma^\lambda \partial_\lambda \right).$$

2) θ -deformed gravity,

Aschieri et al. (Class. Quant. Grav. 22, 3511 (2005) and 23, 1883 (2006))

$$[\delta_\xi^*, \delta_\eta^*] = \delta_{[\xi, \eta]}^*,$$

$$\Delta(\delta_\xi^*) = \delta_\xi^* \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \delta_\xi^* - \frac{i}{2}\theta^{\rho\sigma} \left(\delta_{(\partial_\rho \xi)}^* \otimes \partial_\sigma + \partial_\rho \otimes \delta_{(\partial_\sigma \xi)}^* \right) + \dots$$

3) θ -deformed gauge theory,

Aschieri et al. (Lett. Math. Phys. 78 (2006) 61), Vassilevich (Mod. Phys. Lett. A 21 (2006) 1279), Giller et al. (Phys. Lett. B655, 80 (2007))

$$[\delta_\alpha^*, \delta_\beta^*] = \delta_{-i[\alpha, \beta]}^*,$$

$$\Delta(\delta_\alpha^*) = \delta_\alpha^* \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \delta_\alpha^* - \frac{i}{2} \theta^{\rho\sigma} \left(\delta_{(\partial_\rho \alpha)}^* \otimes \partial_\sigma + \partial_\rho \otimes \delta_{(\partial_\sigma \alpha)}^* \right) + \dots$$

A more general Abelian twist $\mathcal{F} = e^{-\frac{i}{2} \theta^{ab} X_a \otimes X_b}$ leads to

4) nonconstant deformations, deformed gravity and SUGRA,

Aschieri et al. (Lett. Math. Phys. 85, 39 (2008) and 0902.3817[hep-th],
0902.3823[hep-th]).

5) twisted supersymmetry,

P. Kosiński et al. (J. Phys. A 27 (1994) 6827), Y. Kobayashi et al. (Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 20 (2005) 7175), Zupnik (Phys. Lett. B 627 208 (2005)), Ihl et al. (JHEP 0601 (2006) 065), ...
M. Dimitrijević et al. (JHEP (2007))

$$\mathcal{F} = e^{\frac{1}{2} C^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha \otimes \partial_\beta + \frac{1}{2} \bar{C}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \bar{\partial}^{\dot{\alpha}} \otimes \bar{\partial}^{\dot{\beta}}}, \quad C^{\alpha\beta} = C^{\beta\alpha} \in \mathbb{C}$$

$$[\delta_\xi^*, \delta_\eta^*] = -2i(\eta \sigma^m \bar{\xi} - \xi \sigma^m \bar{\eta}) \partial_m,$$

$$\Delta(\delta_\xi^*) = \mathcal{F}(\delta_\xi^* \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \delta_\xi^*) \mathcal{F}^{-1}.$$

D-deformed superspace

Undeformed superspace is generated by x^m , θ^α and $\bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}}$
(anti)commuting coordinates

$$[x^m, x^n] = 0, \quad \{\theta^\alpha, \theta^\beta\} = 0, \quad \{\bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}}, \bar{\theta}_{\dot{\beta}}\} = 0, \quad (17)$$

with $m = 0, \dots, 3$ and $\alpha, \beta, \dot{\alpha}, \dot{\beta} = 1, 2$.

Superfield $F(x, \theta, \bar{\theta})$ can be expanded in powers of θ and $\bar{\theta}$

$$F(x, \theta, \bar{\theta}) = f(x) + \theta\phi(x) + \bar{\theta}\bar{\chi}(x) + \theta\theta m(x) + \bar{\theta}\bar{\theta}n(x) \quad (18) \\ + \theta\sigma^m\bar{\theta}v_m + \theta\theta\bar{\theta}\bar{\lambda}(x) + \bar{\theta}\bar{\theta}\theta\varphi(x) + \theta\theta\bar{\theta}\bar{\theta}d(x).$$

Under infinitesimal SUSY transformations

$$\delta_\xi F = (\xi Q + \bar{\xi} \bar{Q}) F, \quad (19)$$

$$Q_\alpha = \partial_\alpha - i\sigma^m_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}} \partial_m, \quad \bar{Q}^{\dot{\alpha}} = \bar{\partial}^{\dot{\alpha}} - i\theta^\alpha \sigma^m_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} \varepsilon^{\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}} \partial_m. \quad (20)$$

Also $\xi^\alpha, \bar{\xi}_{\dot{\alpha}} = \text{const.}$ and $\{\xi^\alpha, \xi^\beta\} = \{\xi^\alpha, \bar{\xi}_{\dot{\alpha}}\} = \{\bar{\xi}_{\dot{\alpha}}, \bar{\xi}_{\dot{\beta}}\} = 0.$

Transformations (5) close in the algebra

$$[\delta_\xi, \delta_\eta] = -2i(\eta\sigma^m \bar{\xi} - \xi\sigma^m \bar{\eta}) \partial_m. \quad (21)$$

Leibniz rule is **undeformed**

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\xi (F \cdot G) &= (\delta_\xi F) \cdot G + F \cdot (\delta_\xi G) \\ &= (\xi Q + \bar{\xi} \bar{Q}) (F \cdot G). \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Deformation: we use the twist approach and **choose** the twist

$$\mathcal{F} = e^{\frac{1}{2}C^{\alpha\beta}D_\alpha \otimes D_\beta}, \quad (23)$$

with $C^{\alpha\beta} = C^{\beta\alpha} \in \mathbb{C}$ and $D_\alpha = \partial_\alpha - i\sigma_m^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}}\partial_m$.

Then $\mathcal{F}^{-1} = e^{-\frac{1}{2}C^{\alpha\beta}D_\alpha \otimes D_\beta}$ defines the \star -product

$$\begin{aligned} F \star G &= \mu\{\mathcal{F}^{-1} F \otimes G\} \\ &= F \cdot G - \frac{1}{2}(-1)^{|F|}C^{\alpha\beta}(D_\alpha F) \cdot (D_\beta G) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{8}C^{\alpha\beta}C^{\gamma\delta}(D_\alpha D_\gamma F) \cdot (D_\beta D_\delta G), \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

where $|F| = 1$ if F is odd (fermionic) and $|F| = 0$ if F is even (bosonic). The \star -product is associative, non(anti)commutative and **non-hermitian**, $(F \star G)^* \neq G^* \star F^*$.

Especially,

$$\begin{aligned} \{\theta^\alpha \star \theta^\beta\} &= C^{\alpha\beta}, \quad \{\bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}} \star \bar{\theta}_{\dot{\beta}}\} = \{\theta^\alpha \star \bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}}\} = 0, \\ [x^m \star x^n] &= -C^{\alpha\beta} (\sigma^{mn} \varepsilon)_{\alpha\beta} \bar{\theta} \bar{\theta}, \dots \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Non-anticommutative superspace: non-anticommutativity is encoded in terms of the \star -product (24).

Since $\{Q_\alpha, D_\beta\} = \{\bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}, D_\beta\} = 0$ the Hopf algebra of SUSY transformations does not change, it remains **undeformed**:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\xi^\star F &= (\xi Q + \bar{\xi} \bar{Q}) F, \\ \delta_\xi^\star (F \star G) &= (\xi Q + \bar{\xi} \bar{Q}) (F \star G) \\ &= (\delta_\xi^\star F) \star G + F \star (\delta_\xi^\star G). \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Deformed Wess-Zumino model

Chiral field Φ fulfills $\bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}\Phi = 0$, with $\bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} = -\bar{\partial}_{\dot{\alpha}} - i\theta^{\alpha}\sigma_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^m\partial_m$

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(x) = & A(x) + \sqrt{2}\theta^{\alpha}\psi_{\alpha}(x) + \theta\theta\mathbf{F}(x) + i\theta\sigma^l\bar{\theta}(\partial_l A(x)) \\ & - \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\theta\theta(\partial_m\psi^{\alpha}(x))\sigma_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^m\bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{4}\theta\theta\bar{\theta}\bar{\theta}(\square A(x)).\end{aligned}\quad (27)$$

The \star -product of two, three, ... chiral superfields is **not chiral** and we use the projectors

$$\begin{aligned}P_1 = \frac{1}{16}\frac{D^2\bar{D}^2}{\square}, \quad P_2 = \frac{1}{16}\frac{\bar{D}^2D^2}{\square}, \quad P_T = -\frac{1}{8}\frac{D\bar{D}^2D}{\square},\end{aligned}\quad (28)$$

$$f(x)\frac{1}{\square}g(x) = f(x)\int d^4y G(x-y)g(y)$$

to separate chiral and antichiral parts of \star -products of Φ . For example

$$\begin{aligned}P_2(\Phi \star \Phi) = & \Phi\Phi = A^2 + 2\sqrt{2}A\theta^{\alpha}\psi_{\alpha} + \theta\theta(2AH - \psi\psi) + i\theta\sigma^m\bar{\theta}(\partial_m(A^2)) \\ & + i\sqrt{2}\theta\theta\bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\sigma}^{m\dot{\alpha}\alpha}(\partial_m(\psi_{\alpha}A)) + \frac{1}{4}\theta\theta\bar{\theta}\bar{\theta}\square A^2.\end{aligned}\quad (29)$$

Undeformed Wess-Zumino Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = \Phi^+ \cdot \Phi \Big|_{\theta\theta\bar{\theta}\bar{\theta}} + \left(\frac{m}{2} \Phi \cdot \Phi \Big|_{\theta\theta} + \frac{\lambda}{3} \Phi \cdot \Phi \cdot \Phi \Big|_{\theta\theta} + \text{c.c.} \right), \quad (30)$$

with m and λ real constants.

Deformation 1:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^+ \cdot \Phi &\rightarrow \Phi^+ \star \Phi, \\ \Phi \cdot \Phi &\rightarrow P_2(\Phi \star \Phi), \\ \Phi \cdot \Phi \cdot \Phi &\rightarrow \begin{cases} P_2(\Phi \star P_2(\Phi \star \Phi)), \\ P_2(P_2(\Phi \star \Phi) \star \Phi), \\ P_2(\Phi \star \Phi \star \Phi). \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Deformation 2: Use all possible SUSY covariant terms in two and three fields.

The second approach gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L} = & \Phi^+ \star \Phi \Big|_{\theta\theta\bar{\theta}\bar{\theta}} + \left\{ \frac{m}{2} \left(P_2(\Phi \star \Phi) \Big|_{\theta\theta} + 2a_1 P_1(\Phi \star \Phi) \Big|_{\bar{\theta}\bar{\theta}} \right) \right. \\
& + \frac{\lambda}{3} \left(P_2(P_2(\Phi \star \Phi) \star \Phi) \Big|_{\theta\theta} + 3a_2 P_1(P_2(\Phi \star \Phi) \star \Phi) \Big|_{\bar{\theta}\bar{\theta}} \right. \\
& \left. \left. + 2a_3(P_1 + P_2)(P_1(\Phi \star \Phi) \star \Phi) \Big|_{\theta\theta\bar{\theta}\bar{\theta}} \right) + \text{c.c.} \right\}. \tag{31}
\end{aligned}$$

The *D*-deformed Wess-Zumino action in component fields

$$\begin{aligned}
S = & \int d^4x \left\{ A^* \square A + i\partial_m \bar{\psi} \bar{\sigma}^m \psi + H^* H + m(AH - \frac{1}{2}\psi\psi) + m(A^* H^* - \frac{1}{2}\bar{\psi}\bar{\psi}) \right. \\
& + \lambda(A^2 H - A\psi\psi) + \lambda((A^*)^2 H^* - A^* \bar{\psi}\bar{\psi}) \\
& + C^2 \left(ma_1 \left(\frac{1}{2}\psi \square \psi - H \square A \right) + \lambda a_2 \left(-AH \square A - \frac{1}{2}H(\square A^2) \right) \right. \\
& + \frac{1}{2}\psi\psi(\square A) + A\psi \square \psi \left. \right) + \lambda a_3 \left(-\frac{3}{2}H^2 \square A + \frac{3}{2}H(\partial_m \psi) \sigma^m \bar{\sigma}^l (\partial_l \psi) \right) \\
& \left. + \bar{C}^2 \left(\text{c.c.} \right) \right\}. \tag{32}
\end{aligned}$$

From the action (32) one can:

-calculate the EOM for the fields H and H^* , solve them and insert the solutions back into the action.

-look at the renormalizability properties (motivation: twisted symmetry vs. renormalization).

Renormalizability properties: use the background field method to calculate the divergences in the two-point functions.

- Rewrite the action (32) in terms of the real fields.
- Split the fields into their classical and quantum parts.
- Calculate the action quadratic in quantum fields

$$S^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\Psi} & S & \mathcal{P} & \mathcal{E} & \mathcal{G} \end{pmatrix} M \begin{pmatrix} \Psi \\ S \\ \mathcal{P} \\ \mathcal{E} \\ \mathcal{G} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (33)$$

- The one loop effective action is

$$\Gamma = \frac{i}{2} \text{STr} \ln \left[1 + (\square - m^2)^{-1} MC \right], \quad (34)$$

with

$$MC = N + T + V. \quad (35)$$

- Calculate (34) up to second order in g , second order in fields and up to second order in $C_{\alpha\beta}$

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma &= \frac{i}{2} \text{STr} \ln \left[1 + (\square - m^2)^{-1} (N + T + V) \right] \\ &= \frac{i}{2} \left[\text{STr}((\square - m^2)^{-1} (N + T + V)) \right. \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \text{STr}((\square - m^2)^{-1} N (\square - m^2)^{-1} N) \\ &\quad - \text{STr}((\square - m^2)^{-1} N (\square - m^2)^{-1} (T + V)) \\ &\quad \left. + \text{STr}(((\square - m^2)^{-1} N)^2 (\square - m^2)^{-1} T) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

- Calculate Supertraces...

Results

- The divergent part of the one loop effective action is

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_1 = & \frac{g^2}{\pi^2\epsilon} \int d^4x \left[\frac{1}{4}(S\Box S + P\Box P + \bar{\psi}i\partial\psi + E^2 + G^2) \right. \\ & + \frac{3}{4}a_3C^2m^2(2P\Box G + \bar{\psi}\Box\psi - 2S\Box E) \\ & \left. - C^2a_1m^2(S\Box S + P\Box P - \bar{\psi}i\partial\psi + E^2 + G^2) \right].\end{aligned}\quad (37)$$

- To cancel the divergences we have to add counterterms to the classical Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_B = \mathcal{L}_0 + \mathcal{L}_2 - \Gamma_1. \quad (38)$$

- All the fields are renormalized in the same way:

$$S_0 = \sqrt{Z}S, P_0 = \sqrt{Z}P, \psi_0 = \sqrt{Z}\psi, E_0 = \sqrt{Z}E, G_0 = \sqrt{Z}G,$$

$$Z = 1 - \frac{g^2}{2\pi^2\epsilon}(1 - 4a_1m^2C^2). \quad (39)$$

- The tadpole contributions add up to zero; $\delta m = 0$; the deformation parameter has to be renormalized

$$C_0^2 = \left(a_1 - \frac{3a_3g^2}{2\pi^2\epsilon} \right) C^2. \quad (40)$$

- No deformation of the nonrenormalization theorem.

Comments II

- A deformation of the superspace is constructed:
 - the \star -product is not hermitian
 - the SUSY Hopf algebra is undeformed, especially the Leibniz rule does not change.
- Deformed Wess-Zumino model is discussed:
 - all possible covariant terms are included in the action
 - divergences of two-point Green functions: no mass renormalization, all fields are renormalized in the same way
 - no additional terms needed in the original action in order to absorb the divergences.
- future work/work in progress:
 - renormalizability of the model continued: three point functions
 - vector superfield, that is gauge theories.